

# EDUC 2123

# The Teaching Profession

# Fall 2018

# Section 01

Professor: Dr. Jennifer Antoniotti-Neal

Office: Goodhue 102 (basement)

Phone: (270) 384-8135

E-mail: antoniotti-nealj@lindsey.edu

Office Hours:  **M-W: 9:00-11:30, M-W 12:30-2:30**

**T-TR: 1:30-2:00**

**\*Also by appointment**

**\***

**Required textbook:**

* Kauchak, D. and Eggen, P. (2017). *Introduction to Teaching: Becoming a Professional (6th ).*  Pearson.
* WE WILL BE USING THE TEXTBOOK OFTEN. IT IS REQUIRED.

**Course Description**

Provides an overview of formal schooling, influence of cultural background and socioeconomic status on child development and learning; teacher ethics; legal issues; student diversity and curriculum. Includes the study of the historical movements in Western thought that have shaped contemporary educational theory and practice in the United States. Students will analyze the underlying philosophical themes revealed in the current structure and content of primary, middle level and secondary education. Includes a field experience component.

**Conceptual Framework**

The Division of Education and the Unit for Teacher Preparation incorporates the theme “Teacher as Leader for the 21st Century” in each course. The course equips candidates in the areas of knowledge, pedagogy, leadership and reflective practice. Candidates in EDUC 2123 begin developing knowledge and skills that are essential to become teacher leaders by creating a teaching philosophy and by practicing presentation skills. Field experiences and assignments help build 21st century skills by identifying specific teaching and learning methodologies and/or beliefs in what is required to have a successful career in the teaching profession. Teacher candidates will be equipped with pedagogical knowledge to teach K-12 students, impact student learning and to value and support student diversity addressing individual needs. The course will also guide candidates to assume leadership roles in teaching diverse learners in their school communities and address the twenty-first century skills needed by all learners. In addition, teacher candidates will learn to incorporate Characteristics of Highly Effective Teaching and Learning as outlined by the Kentucky Department of Education and the requirements for Unbridled Learning.

**Course Objectives/Student Learning Outcomes**

The goal of this course is to introduce candidates to a teacher’s role in the education process in student learning and to provide an overview of the considerations pertinent to pursuing a career in education. At the conclusion of this course, candidates will be able to:

1. Demonstrate a knowledge and understanding of the history of education in America.(KTS 1)
2. Demonstrate knowledge of current educational issues and trends involved in choosing a career in education (KTS 1,7,9)
3. Identify and define what it means to become an effective teacher KTS 1.3.5.7).
4. Explore multiculturalism in American schools and examine ways the education system relates to diversity in closing the Achievement gap. (KTS 1,5,7,9)
5. Develop familiarity with philosophical terminology including traditional and education philosophical theories and theorists. (KTS 1,7)
6. Practice practical and ethical use of technology in the classroom.(KTS 6)

**Senate Bill 1 Initiatives**

This course will provide students an opportunity to advance their knowledge and mastery of the “tools” associated with Kentucky education reform, including the Kentucky Core Academic Standards, Characteristics of Highly Effective Teaching and Learning, College and Career Readiness, literacy and assessment *for* learning,. As students carry out projects and complete assignments that involve instructional activities for P-5 students in Kentucky schools, they will address one or more components of the Senate Bill 1 initiatives.

**Technology**

Candidates are required to use technology for class assignments, lesson plan design and preparation, class presentations and record keeping. Candidates are required to successfully complete course work that focuses on using technology. Candidates are required to communicate via electronic mail, access the Internet and online databases, and use digital texts and modes for projects and presentations.

**Diversity**

Topics covered in this course will include alternative software and electronic resources for students with exceptionalities, disabilities, language deficiencies, as well as identification and understand of the differences between electronic resources that are games, learning, and skills practice programs.

**Plans instructional strategies and activities that address learning objectives for all students**

1.4 Regularly guides students to understand content from appropriate diverse, multicultural, or global perspectives.

2.5 Plans instructional strategies and activities that facilitate multiple levels of learning

3.3 Values and supports student diversity and addresses individual needs

4.2 Implements instruction based on diverse student needs and assessment data

8.1 Identifies students whose learning could be enhanced by collaboration

8.2 Designs a plan to enhance student learning that includes all parties in the collaborative effort

## 

## Education Policies and Procedures

The Policies and Procedures of the Division of Education apply to all students who take courses in the education program including those courses designated as pre-entry or have been admitted to the program. This includes students who take EDUC 2123, 2713, 3123, and 3143 without the intent of entering the program. The Policies and Procedures include all those outlined in the Candidate Handbook, the Field Handbook, and the Student Teaching Handbook. Failure to comply to the approved policies and procedures of the Lindsey Wilson College Education Program, the Kentucky Education Professional Standards Board, or the Kentucky Department of Education can result in a reprimand, the consequences outlined in the policy, the need to drop the course where the violation occurred or, if more serious in nature, not being admitted to the program or being dropped from the program.

**Class Absences**

In keeping with Lindsey Wilson College policy, students are responsible for regular class attendance, in-class participation, and completion of assignments.  In the Education Program students are expected to attend all class sessions and absences are counted. Absences from classes that meet one day a week will count as three class absences since the session is credited for three class periods. Excessive tardiness especially those students who habitually arrive to class late, can result in being counted absent from the class. If an emergency arises, the student must make every effort to notify the instructor prior to class through email or by calling if during regular office hours.

Students will only be allowed to make up work or tests from missed classes if the absence is excused. Excused absences include doctor appointments, funerals for immediate family, or valid emergencies. In order to have an absence excused the student must submit a signed excuse from the physician or bring proof of the emergency. This should be submitted to the instructor on the day the student returns to class. Missing class or arriving late because of taking a test in another course will not be excused unless the request is submitted to the instructor for prior approval. The approval is at the discretion of the instructor. Completion of field hours **is not** an excuse for missing an education class or any other class. Field hours are assigned early enough in the semester and at times when no classes are scheduled. Work is also not a valid excuse for missing classes.

According to College policy absences for scheduled, authorized obligations (e.g., athletic events, choir tours, field trips in other classes, etc.) are not counted as class absences. In order for these to be excused the student must notify the instructor prior to the absence. Students are responsible for completion of missed class work due to an authorized absence within a reasonable (defined by instructor) length of time. Participation in extracurricular activities (intercollegiate athletics in particular) place additional demands and responsibilities on students and therefore requires that any additional absences may jeopardize the course grade.

When a pattern of excessive absence, tardiness, or other unsatisfactory performance occurs, the instructor will take one or more of the following actions:

* Request the student make special arrangements to improve his or her performance (e.g., meeting with a tutor);
* Enter the student in the Starfish System, a system in which the student's instructor, academic or freshman advisor, Academic Affairs office, Student Affairs office, and coach (if the student is an athlete);
* Place the student on attendance probation, whereby an additional unexcused absence would result in a grade of F for the course; and
* Contact the student's parent(s)/legal guardian about continuing problems if the student has given written permission for contacts.

**Cell Phone Policy**

No cell phones are to be visible or turned on in public school classrooms including all clinical field experiences, practicum, and student teaching. If the cell phone is to be used for legitimate instructional purpose such as students responding on a website, used as a timer, or used as assessment (clickers), it must be written as part of the lesson plan and approved by the cooperating teacher.

The Education Division will adhere to the Lindsey Wilson cell phone policy for college classrooms that states “Student cell phones will be off during class time unless prior arrangement is made with the instructor. All cell phones must be put away during the class period. “ Violation of LWC policy will be grounds for dismissal from class. Education instructors may impose additional cell phone policies and note these in their course syllabi. Any requests to keep the cell phone on but silent and not visible, such as family or medical emergencies, must be given prior approval by the classroom instructor.

**Audio and Video Recordings**

Any recording of class sessions, group information meetings offered by the Education Division, meetings with advisers or individual instructors, Stage interview sessions, or meetings with education faculty are not to be recorded either through audio or video. If there is a valid reason for recording, this request must be made prior to the meeting and have approval from all participating parties. Any meetings involving discussion of public school students or situations in the public schools may not be recorded because of FERPA and privacy issues.

**Privacy Policy and Social Media**

Students cannot disclose information or incidence that occur in the public schools, classrooms, or clinical situation either through personal interaction or social media with friends and family. This includes any private meetings with P-12 faculty or administration. Avoid posting any school information, student information or education division information on Social media including Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and similar forms of social media.  This does not include general school announcements from authorized school officials to the general public.   The policy is supported by KY Code of Ethics and FERPA regulations for student / faculty privacy.

**Interactions with P-12 Students and Teachers**

Lindsey Wilson College education students are in the schools as guests of the school system. The school is a work place for teachers and administrators, as well a safe learning environment for students. It is not a forum for socialization with teachers and / or students. Education students will not be placed in schools where immediate family members are present in order to avoid conflict of interest. Education students who are placed in a school for field / clinical experiences, especially for student teaching, will have no social contact with P-12 students in the school they meet initially during the field experience. All interaction should relate to classroom work or extracurricular assignments. All interaction with students must take place on school grounds and be supervised by school personnel. In those field experience situations where teacher candidates have previous social contact with teachers and students, the candidate must use their best judgment in social interaction. During hours when completing the field experience, the candidate must maintain professional conduct in all interactions with P-12 students and teachers. During the student teaching semester, teacher candidates must avoid socialization, interaction through social media, and transporting by car all P-12 students in the school to which they are assigned.

Teacher education candidates must respect the work environment and maintain professional interaction with P-12 teachers. Teacher education candidates must avoid initiating inappropriate or excessive contact with faculty in the schools where they are assigned.

**Incomplete Field Observation Hours**

Students will not receive credit for field hours until all hours have been uploaded and entered in the KFETS database and approved by the LWC course instructor. Failure to upload all field hours in KFETS will result in an incomplete (I) grade for the course. The Coordinator of Field Placements must place students for additional hours.

**Grading**

Final grades in the class will be determined by weighing the class requirements as follows:

**Grading Scale**  
A 1000-940

A- 939-900

B+ 899-870

B 869-840

B- 839-800

C+ 799-770

C 769-740

C- 739-700

D+ 699-670

D 669-640

D- 639-600

F 599 and below

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Remind Sign Up |  | 20 pts |
| Syllabus Quiz |  | 30 pts |
| Comprehension Checks (2) |  | 200 pts  (100 pts each) |
| Final exam |  | 100 pts |
| Projects: |  |  |
|  | Teacher Interview | 100 pts |
|  | Research Presentations | 100 pts |
|  | Teaching Philosophy Paper | 150 pts |
|  | Reflective Journal on Field Experience | 100 pts (25pts for each due date) |
|  | KFETS | 100 pts (10 pts for each hour) |
|  | Attendance, Participation, Professional Attitude | 100 pts |
| **Total** |  | **1000 pts** |

**Course Requirements/Assessment Tasks**

1. **Remind Sign-Up** 20 pts.
2. **Syllabus Quiz** 30 pts
3. **Comprehension Checks**

There will be 2 tests. (100 pts each)

1. **Final Exam:** 100 pts
2. **Teacher Interview Paper**

Using information from an interview with one of the two teachers you observe, write a paper of at least two pages. You may interview the teacher in person or through electronic means (e.g., email). Candidates will compose a paper identifying an effective teacher with whom they have taken a class. You will be given a set of questions to use in this interview. At least one page of the Interview paper must be reflective: What did you learn? What might you use when you have your own classroom? What would you avoid? 100 pts

1. **Research Presentation: Sign up for due date** 100 pts
2. **Teaching Philosophy Paper:** 150 pts

Candidates will compose a teaching philosophy paper of at least three pages that includes statements on how children learn best, how teaching is best done, theories of learning and research which includes reference to at least two educational theorists. Be sure to describe philosophical base of the educational theorist. Upload to Blackboard.

1. **Reflective Journals/Observation Hours in classrooms (10 hours)** 100 pts

The candidate will visit assigned classrooms. Students will ***write one page reflection*** for each hour of observation with a cover page for each hour submitted (even if you observe more than one hour at a time. These will be submitted on the following dates:

* September\_\_\_\_\_\_\_: Cover sheet must be signed by cooperating teacher
* September \_\_\_\_\_\_: Complete 3 hours of observation documented by cooperating teacher
* October\_\_\_\_\_\_\_: Complete 3 (7 total) hours of observation as documented by cooperating teacher
* November \_\_\_\_\_\_: Complete 4 (10 total) hours of observation as documented by cooperating teacher
* NO OBSERVATIONS ALLOWED AFTER NOVEMBER 30th-NO EXCEPTIONS… if you do not turn in all of your hours by this date, you will receive an INCOMPLETE or F for the course. 20% off if turned in late.

1. Throughout the field observation hours, candidates will document evidence in Kentucky Field Experience Tracking System (KFETS).All 10 hours and reflection sheets should be uploaded to KFETS. **Failure to complete field observation hours will result in the student receiving an Incomplete or F for the course.** Upload to EPSB website. 100 pts
2. **Attendance, participation and professional attitude.** 100 pts

Candidates will attend and participate in class and demonstrate a professional attitude. Professor will **complete a disposition** on all teacher candidates to include in Stage 1 entry portfolio. ***This means that your attendance, attitude and effort (including following cell phone policies in class) will be rated by the professor.***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *Week* | *Topic* | ***Assignment*** |
| 1. **August 21-24** | Introduction/Syllabus | * **Remind Homework and Syllable quiz August 23rd** |
| 1. **August 27-31** | Do I want to be a teacher?  (**Ch.1 read PRIOR to class**) | * **August 27th last day to register or add class** |
| 1. **Sept. 3-7** | Developing as a Professional; Changes in American Society  (**Ch.2 read PRIOR to class**)  **Assign: Teacher Interview Paper Guidelines** | **• Observation placement agreement due Sept. 4th** |
| 1. **September 10-14** | Praxis Discussion  Student Diversity \* In class activity  (**Ch.3 read PRIOR to class**) | * **Praxis links on LWC website** * **Teacher interview paper due September 13th** |
| 1. **September 17-21** | Educational Philosophy  (**Ch.5 read PRIOR to class**)  **Assign: Philosophy paper guidelines Sept. 18th**  Study Guide: Review for 1-5 chapters Sept. 18th | * **Hannah Rogers/library Sept. 20th** * **3 observation hours, cover sheets, reflections and KFETS Sept. 18th** |
| 1. **September 24-28** | **Test 1** | * **Test 1 Sept. 25th** * **Jared Odd: Writing in APA format Sept. 27th** |
| 1. **October 1-5** | Choosing a School  (Ch. 6 read PRIOR to class) | * **Philosophy paper due October 4th** |
| 1. **October 8-12** | Governance and Finance School Law  (Ch. 7/8 read PRIOR to class) | * **3 hrs. of observation due 9th** * Total = 8 hrs completed |
| 1. **October 15-19** | **Fall Break** | **Enjoy!** |
| 1. **October 22-26** | School Curriculum  (Ch. 9 read PRIOR to class)  **Assign: Research Guidelines (Thursday)** |  |
| 1. **October 29- Nov 2** | Classroom Management  (Ch.10 read PRIOR to class) |  |
| 1. **November 5-9** | **Study Guide:** Review for 6-10 chapters.  **Test 2** | * **4 hrs. of observation due Nov. 6th** All forms due including cover sheets, reflections, completed and signed hour log, and teacher evaluation. Incomplete will be given for the course if these are not turned in) * Total = 10 hrs. completed * **Test 2 November 9th** |
| 1. **November 12-16** | Educational Freedom  Instructional Assessment  Creating a productive learning environment | * **Last day to drop/withdraw from class November 12th.** |
| 1. **November 19-23** | Research Presentations | * **Praxis sign up reminder/practice** |
| 1. **November 26-30** | Research Presentations | * **Praxis sign up reminder/practice** |
| **16-December 3-7** | Research Presentations | * **No students allowed in schools for observations after November 30th.**   ***NO EXCEPTIONS*** |
| 1. **December 10-14** | Final Exam and  Research Presentations  (if needed) | **Have a wonderful break!** |

Assessments for EDUC 2123, The Teaching Profession

1. Remind Sign up 20 pts
2. Syllabus quiz 30 pts
3. Test(s) 200 pts (100 each test)

Tests will be scored according to an answer key with a total score up to 100 points.

1. Final Exam 100 pts

Exam will be scored according to an answer key with a total score up to 100 points.

1. Teacher Interview 150 pts

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Interview Scoring Rubric** | |
| 50 pts | Effective – Coherent paper |
| 25 pts | Mechanics- grammar/punctuation/spelling |
| 10 pts | All listed questions asked |
| 10 pts | Tone/voice demonstrated in paper |
| 5 pts | Extended questions asked by interviewer |
| **100 total points available** |  |

Interview Questions

The following are questions you are to ask in your interview, but you are not solely limited to these questions.

1. How long have you been teaching?
2. What subjects/grades have you taught?
3. Why did you become a teacher?
4. Who influenced you to become a teacher?
5. How has the teaching profession changed during your tenure as a teacher?
6. What do you consider your strengths/ weaknesses?
7. Can you think of a particular student/ incident/ situation etc. that had an impact on you ? Why?
8. If you could change any aspect(s) of the teaching profession, what would you change and why?
9. What do you consider effective characteristics of an effective teacher?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Written Communication VALUE Rubric**  *for more information, please contact value@aacu.org* |  |

**Definition**

Written communication is the development and expression of ideas in writing. Written communication involves learning to work in many genres and styles. It can involve working with many different writing technologies, and mixing texts, data, and images. Written communication abilities develop through iterative experiences across the curriculum.

*Evaluators are encouraged to assign a zero to any work sample or collection of work that does not meet benchmark (cell one) level performance.*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Capstone**  4 | **Milestones**  3 2 | | **Benchmark**  1 |
| **Context of and Purpose for Writing**  *Includes considerations of audience, purpose, and the circumstances surrounding the writing task(s).* | Demonstrates a thorough understanding of context, audience, and purpose that is responsive to the assigned task(s) and focuses all elements of the work. | Demonstrates adequate consideration of context, audience, and purpose and a clear focus on the assigned task(s) (e.g., the task aligns with audience, purpose, and context). | Demonstrates awareness of context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., begins to show awareness of audience's perceptions and assumptions). | Demonstrates minimal attention to context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., expectation of instructor or self as audience). |
| **Content Development** | Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to illustrate mastery of the subject, conveying the writer's understanding, and shaping the whole work. | Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to explore ideas within the context of the discipline and shape the whole work. | Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop and explore ideas through most of the work. | Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop simple ideas in some parts of the work. |
| **Genre and Disciplinary Conventions**  *Formal and informal rules inherent in the expectations for writing in particular forms and/or academic fields (please see glossary).* | Demonstrates detailed attention to and successful execution of a wide range of conventions particular to a specific discipline and/or writing task (s) including  organization, content, presentation, formatting, and stylistic choices | Demonstrates consistent use of important conventions particular to a specific discipline and/or writing task(s), including organization, content, presentation, and stylistic choices | Follows expectations appropriate to a specific discipline and/or writing task(s) for basic organization, content, and presentation | Attempts to use a consistent system for basic organization and presentation. |
| **Sources and Evidence** | Demonstrates skillful use of high-quality, credible, relevant sources to develop ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing | Demonstrates consistent use of credible, relevant sources to support ideas that are situated within the discipline and genre of the writing. | Demonstrates an attempt to use credible and/or relevant sources to support ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing. | Demonstrates an attempt to use sources to support ideas in the writing. |
| **Control of Syntax and Mechanics** | Uses graceful language that skillfully communicates meaning to readers with clarity and fluency, and is virtually error-free. | Uses straightforward language that generally conveys meaning to readers. The language in the portfolio has few errors. | Uses language that generally conveys meaning to readers with clarity, although writing may include some errors. | Uses language that sometimes impedes meaning because of errors in usage. |

1. Research Presentation 100 pts

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
|  | Presenter(s) | Pts Possible |
| Presentation | | |
| Must be 15-20 minutes in length with even split between presenter(s) \*professional dress |  | 15 |
| Must have appropriate eye contact to entire class |  | 5 |
| Must not read from presentation but present useful/current knowledge of research/ information |  | 10 |
|  |  |  |
| Must use appropriate tone and language/grammar |  | 10 |
| Technology | | |
| Power Point, Glog, Prezi, Video, Graphs, Charts, etc. (Technology must work during presentation) |  | 15 |
|  |  |  |
| Technology must clearly and creatively provide correct information about chosen topic that includes videos/pictures/etc. to capture the audience’s attention |  | 20 |
|  |  |  |
| Includes references (minimum of 2) |  | 5 |
| Question / Answer |  |  |
| Must allow time for questions |  | 5 |
| Must be knowledgeable of content |  | 15 |
| Total | | 100 points |

The Teaching Profession

Guidelines for Research Presentations

1. Research presentation may be done individually or in a group of two or more people.
2. Individual presentations should be 10 minutes. Group presentations should be 15-20 minutes.
3. All presentations must include a Power Point presentation. Students are encouraged also to use handouts, activities and other manipulatives.
4. All presentations must be made at the assigned time. If there is an emergency, then an alternate time for the presentation must be made with the instructor.

Possible Research Topics for The Teaching Profession

1. At risk students
2. Closing the educational gap
3. Bullying
4. Classroom organization and management
5. Parental involvement
6. Child-centered or Teacher-centered classrooms
7. Safe schools
8. Learning styles
9. High stakes testing
10. Co-teaching
11. Departmentalized or self-contained classrooms
12. Sexual harassment in schools
13. Title IX
14. Metacognition and teaching
15. No pass/ no play policies
16. Single gender education
17. Childhood and adolescent obesity
18. Physical education requirements for schools
19. Impact of sports on teen pregnancy, graduation rates, and other measures of student success.
20. Charter schools
21. Public versus private schools
22. Common Core
23. Philosophy of Education Paper 150 pts.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Written Communication VALUE Rubric**  *for more information, please contact value@aacu.org* |  |

**Definition**

Written communication is the development and expression of ideas in writing. Written communication involves learning to work in many genres and styles. It can involve working with many different writing technologies, and mixing texts, data, and images. Written communication abilities develop through iterative experiences across the curriculum.

*Evaluators are encouraged to assign a zero to any work sample or collection of work that does not meet benchmark (cell one) level performance.*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Capstone**  4 | **Milestones**  3 2 | | **Benchmark**  1 |
| **Context of and Purpose for Writing**  *Includes considerations of audience, purpose, and the circumstances surrounding the writing task(s).* | Demonstrates a thorough understanding of context, audience, and purpose that is responsive to the assigned task(s) and focuses all elements of the work. | Demonstrates adequate consideration of context, audience, and purpose and a clear focus on the assigned task(s) (e.g., the task aligns with audience, purpose, and context). | Demonstrates awareness of context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., begins to show awareness of audience's perceptions and assumptions). | Demonstrates minimal attention to context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., expectation of instructor or self as audience). |
| **Content Development** | Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to illustrate mastery of the subject, conveying the writer's understanding, and shaping the whole work. | Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to explore ideas within the context of the discipline and shape the whole work. | Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop and explore ideas through most of the work. | Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop simple ideas in some parts of the work. |
| **Genre and Disciplinary Conventions**  *Formal and informal rules inherent in the expectations for writing in particular forms and/or academic fields (please see glossary).* | Demonstrates detailed attention to and successful execution of a wide range of conventions particular to a specific discipline and/or writing task (s) including  organization, content, presentation, formatting, and stylistic choices | Demonstrates consistent use of important conventions particular to a specific discipline and/or writing task(s), including organization, content, presentation, and stylistic choices | Follows expectations appropriate to a specific discipline and/or writing task(s) for basic organization, content, and presentation | Attempts to use a consistent system for basic organization and presentation. |
| **Sources and Evidence** | Demonstrates skillful use of high-quality, credible, relevant sources to develop ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing | Demonstrates consistent use of credible, relevant sources to support ideas that are situated within the discipline and genre of the writing. | Demonstrates an attempt to use credible and/or relevant sources to support ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing. | Demonstrates an attempt to use sources to support ideas in the writing. |
| **Control of Syntax and Mechanics** | Uses graceful language that skillfully communicates meaning to readers with clarity and fluency, and is virtually error-free. | Uses straightforward language that generally conveys meaning to readers. The language in the portfolio has few errors. | Uses language that generally conveys meaning to readers with clarity, although writing may include some errors. | Uses language that sometimes impedes meaning because of errors in usage. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Critical Thinking VALUE Rubric**  *for more information, please contact value@aacu.org* |  |

**Definition**

Critical thinking is a habit of mind characterized by the comprehensive exploration of issues, ideas, artifacts, and events before accepting or formulating an opinion or conclusion.

*Evaluators are encouraged to assign a zero to any work sample or collection of work that does not meet benchmark (cell one) level performance.*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Capstone**  4 | **Milestones**  3 2 | | **Benchmark**  1 |
| **Explanation of issues** | Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated clearly and described comprehensively, delivering all relevant information necessary for full understanding. | Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated, described, and clarified so that understanding is not seriously impeded by omissions. | Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated but description leaves some terms undefined, ambiguities unexplored, boundaries undetermined, and/or backgrounds unknown. | Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated without clarification or description. |
| **Evidence**  *Selecting and using information to investigate a point of view or conclusion* | Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/evaluation to develop a comprehensive analysis or synthesis.  Viewpoints of experts are questioned thoroughly. | Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/evaluation to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis.  Viewpoints of experts are subject to questioning. | Information is taken from source(s) with some interpretation/evaluation, but not enough to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis.  Viewpoints of experts are taken as mostly fact, with little questioning. | Information is taken from source(s) without any interpretation/evaluation.  Viewpoints of experts are taken as fact, without question. |
| **Influence of context and assumptions** | Thoroughly (systematically and methodically) analyzes own and others' assumptions and carefully evaluates the relevance of contexts when presenting a position. | Identifies own and others' assumptions and several relevant contexts when presenting a position. | Questions some assumptions. Identifies several relevant contexts when presenting a position. May be more aware of others' assumptions than one's own (or vice versa). | Shows an emerging awareness of present assumptions (sometimes labels assertions as assumptions). Begins to identify some contexts when presenting a position. |
| **Student's position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis)** | Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) is imaginative, taking into account the complexities of an issue.  Limits of position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) are acknowledged.  Others' points of view are synthesized within position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis). | Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) takes into account the complexities of an issue.  Others' points of view are acknowledged within position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis). | Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) acknowledges different sides of an issue. | Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) is stated, but is simplistic and obvious. |
| **Conclusions and related outcomes (implications and consequences)** | Conclusions and related outcomes (consequences and implications) are logical and reflect student’s informed evaluation and ability to place evidence and perspectives discussed in priority order. | Conclusion is logically tied to a range of information, including opposing viewpoints; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly. | Conclusion is logically tied to information (because information is chosen to fit the desired conclusion); some related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly. | Conclusion is inconsistently tied to some of the information discussed; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are oversimplified. |

Philosophy of Education Paper – What to include! 150 pts

1. What philosophical base do you ascribe? (Where do you get your beliefs)
2. What do you believe a teacher and school should be, should do, and how do learners’ learn? (make sure to reference and support with evidence from theories and theorists)
3. At least 3 pages and a bibliography page
4. At least three reputable sources (No Wikipedia or other general websites)
5. APA style ([www.apastyle.org](http://www.apastyle.org) , <https://owl.english.purdue.edu>)

Rubric taken from Portfolio Summary Sheet (Stage 1 Entry)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Philosophy**  KTS 3 Creates a learning climate | Philosophy of Education from The Teaching Profession | **Met – Target / Acceptable**   * Clear statement of beliefs concerning teaching, learning, curriculum, knowledge, and instructional practice that are consistent with the current knowledge base and best practice for teaching * Beliefs are supported and based on education theory with correct reference to sources accepted by the education community reflecting best practice. * Effectively organized and well developed * Effective use of grammar and conventions. * Appropriate tone and voice | **Not Met - Unacceptable**   * Statements of belief are unclear OR philosophy includes contradictions OR teaching, learning, curriculum, knowledge and instructional practice are not adequately addressed revealing no understanding of the current knowledge base and best practice. * Beliefs are unsupported with no reference to theoretical base OR personal opinion and bias are evident * Ineffective use of grammar and conventions * Inappropriate tone and voice. |

1. 10 Field hours 100 pts (25 pts for each due date)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria | 90-100 pts | 80-89 | 70-79 | 0-69 |
| Field hours on LWC field observation form | 10 field hours documented on LWC observation log sheet and all signatures given | 10 field hours documented on LWC observation log sheet but missing 1-2 signatures | Less than 10 hours documented on LWC observation log sheet and all signatures given. | Less than 10 hours documented on LWC observation log sheet and at least 1 signature missing |
| 10 reflections with one or more pages in length | Required reflections written of 1 or pages in length with only 1-2 grammatical/spelling errors | Required reflections written of 3-4 to a page in length with some grammatical/ spelling errors | Required reflections written of ½ to ¾ of a page with numerous grammatical/ spelling errors | Required reflections written of less than ½ page with excessive grammatical/ spelling errors |

1. KFETS 100 pts

Instructor will check student candidate KFETS account to see if hours have been posed by the required due dates. For each hour posted correctly, the student will receive 10 pts.

10. Daily attendance – assignments, participation, professional attitude 100 pts

* 1st unexcused absence: -5 points
* After 1st unexcused absence: -10 points per missed class

**CROSSWALK**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Course Objectives** | **Kentucky Teacher Standards** | **INTASC** | **CAEP** | **ISTE**  **National Educational Technology Standards** | **Assessment Tasks** | **CAEP Diversity Theme** | **CAEP Technology Theme** |
| 1. Demonstrate a knowledge and understanding of the history of education in America. | 1 | 9 | 1 | NETS-S 1, 3, 4  NETS-T 1, 3, | Research Project,  Tests | No | No |
| 2. Demonstrate knowledge of current educational issues and trends involved in choosing a career in education. | 1, 7, 9 | 1,3,4,6,9,10 | 1 | NETS-S 1, 3, 4  NETS-T 1, , 3 | Tests  Research Project | No | No |
| 3. Identify and define what it means to become an effective teacher. | 1, 3, 5, 7 | 2,3,5,9,10 | 1 | NETS-S 1, 3, 4  NETS-T 1, , 3 | Assignments  Research Project  Tests | No | No |
| 4. Explore multiculturalism in American schools and examine ways the education system relates to **diversity** in closing the achievement gap. | 1, 5, 7, 9 | 2,3,4,6,9,10 | 1 | NETS-S 1, 3, 4  NETS-T 1, , 3 | Quizzes  Assignments  Research Project | Yes | No |
| 5. Develop familiarity with philosophical terminology including traditional and education philosophical theories and theorists. | 1, 7 | 1,9 | 1 | NETS-S 1, 3, 4  NETS-T 1, , 3 | Tests  Assignments Research Projects | No | No |
| 6. Practice practical and ethical use of technology in the classroom. | 6 | 1,2 | 1 | NETS-S 1, 2, 3, 4  NETS-T 1, 2, 5 | Research Project | Yes | Yes |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Course Objectives** | **Conceptual Framework**  **Key Concepts/**  **Program Student Learning Outcomes** | **21st Century Skills** | **Lindsey Wilson College Essential Learning Outcomes** | **EPSB Themes** |
| 1. Demonstrate a knowledge and understanding of the history of education in America. | Knowledge  Pedagogy | 3 | 1, 2, 3, 5 | Diversity |
| 2. Demonstrate knowledge of current educational issues and trends involved in choosing a career in education. | Knowledge  Pedagogy  Reflective Best Practice | 3, 4 | Literacy/  Reading  Closing the Gap  Assessment |
| 4. Identify and define what it means to become an effective teacher. | Knowledge  Pedagogy  Best Practice | 2, 3, 4 | Assessment  Closing the Gap  Literacy/  Reading  Diversity |
| 5. Explore multiculturalism in American schools and examine ways the education system relates to diversity in closing the achievement gap. | Knowledge  Reflective Best Practice | 2, 3, 4 | Closing the Gap |
| 6. Develop familiarity with philosophical terminology including traditional and education philosophical theories and theorists. | Pedagogy  Knowledge | 2, 4 | Diversity  Reading  Closing the Gap |
| 7. Practice practical and ethical use of technology in the classroom. | Knowledge  Pedagogy  Leadership  Reflective Best Practice | 1, 2, 3, 4 | Assessment  Literacy/  Reading  Diversity |

**Kentucky Teacher Standards:**

1. The teacher demonstrates applied content knowledge.
2. The teacher designs and plans instruction.
3. The teacher creates and maintains learning climate.
4. The teacher implements and manages instruction.
5. The teacher assesses and communicates learning results.
6. The teacher demonstrates the implementation of technology.
7. Reflects on and evaluates teaching and learning.
8. Collaborates with colleagues/parents/others.
9. Evaluates teaching and implements professional development.
10. Provides leadership within school/community/profession.

**ISTE National Educational Technology Standards:**

***For Students:***

1. Creativity and Innovation
2. Communication and Collaboration
3. Research and Information Fluency
4. Critical Thinking, Problem Solving, and Decision Making
5. Digital Citizenship
6. Technology Operations and Concepts

***For Teachers:***

1. Facilitate and Inspire Student Learning and Creativity
2. Design and Develop Digital-Age Learning Experiences and Assessments
3. Model Digital-Age Work and Learning
4. Promote and Model Digital Citizenship and Responsibility
5. Engage in Professional Growth and Leadership

**Conceptual Framework Key Concepts/Student Learning Outcomes**

1. Knowledge

2. Pedagogy

3. Leadership

4. Reflective Best Practice

**21st Century Skills**

1. Core Subjects

2. Learning and Innovation Skills

3. Information, Media, and Technology Skills

4. Life and Career Skills

**Lindsey Wilson College Essential Learning Outcomes:**

1. Communicate effectively.

2.  Develop fundamental skills of inquiry and analysis.

3.  Become engaged, culturally aware citizens of the nation and the world.

4.  Learn to apply and integrate knowledge.

5.  Gain depth of knowledge in a discipline.

**EPSB Themes:**

* Diversity (with specific attention to exceptional children including the gifted and talented, cultural and ethnic diversity)
* Assessment (developing skills to assess student learning)
* Literacy/Reading/Closing the Achievement Gap (identify what courses emphasize strategies for closing the gap)

**2013 CAEP Standards**

*excellence in educator preparation*

Standard 1. Content and Pedagogical Knowledge

The provider ensures that candidates develop a deep understanding of the critical concepts and principles of their discipline and, by completion, are able to use discipline-specific practices flexibly to advance the learning of all students toward attainment of college- and career-readiness standards

Standard 2. Clinical Partnerships and Practice

The provider ensures that effective partnerships and high-quality clinical practice are central to preparation so that candidates develop the knowledge, skills, and professional dispositions necessary to demonstrate positive impact on all P-12 students’

learning and development.

Standard 3. Candidate Quality, Recruitment, and Selectivity

The provider demonstrates that the quality of candidates is a continuing and purposeful part of its responsibility from recruitment, at admission, through the progression of courses and clinical experiences, and to decisions that completers are prepared to teach effectively and are recommended for certification. The provider demonstrates that development of candidate quality is the goal of educator preparation in all phases of the program. This process is ultimately determined by a program’s meeting of Standard 4

Standard 4. Program Impact

The provider demonstrates the impact of its completers on P-12 student learning and development, classroom instruction, and

schools, and the satisfaction of its completers with the relevance and effectiveness of their preparation.

Standard 5. Provider Quality Assurance and Continuous Improvement

The provider maintains a quality assurance system comprised of valid data from multiple measures, including evidence of

candidates’ and completers’ positive impact on P-12 student learning and development. The provider supports continuous improvement that is sustained and evidence-based, and that evaluates the effectiveness of its completers. The provider uses the results of inquiry and data collection to establish priorities, enhance program elements and capacity, and test innovations to improve completers’ impact on P-12 student learning and development.

**InTASC Standards**

Standard #1: Learner Development

The teacher understands how learners grow and develop, recognizing that

patterns of learning and development vary individually within and across the cognitive,

linguistic, social, emotional, and physical areas, and designs and implements

developmentally appropriate and challenging learning experiences.

Standard #2: Learning Differences

The teacher uses understanding of individual differences and diverse

cultures and communities to ensure inclusive learning environments

that enable each learner to meet high standards.

Performances

Standard #3: Learning Environments

The teacher works with others to create environments that support

individual and collaborative learning, and that encourage positive social

interaction, active engagement in learning, and self motivation.

Performances

Standard #4: Content Knowledge

The teacher understands the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of the

discipline(s) he or she teaches and creates learning experiences that make these aspects

of the discipline accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content.

Standard #5: Application of Content

The teacher understands how to connect concepts and use differing

perspectives to engage learners in critical thinking, creativity, and

collaborative problem solving related to authentic local and global issues.

Standard #6: Assessment

The teacher understands and uses multiple methods of assessment to

engage learners in their own growth, to monitor learner progress, and

to guide the teach

Standard #7: Planning for Instruction

The teacher plans instruction that supports every student in meeting rigorous learning

goals by drawing upon knowledge of content areas, curriculum, cross-disciplinary skills, and

pedagogy, as well as knowledge of learners and the community context.

Standard #8: Instructional Strategies

The teacher understands and uses a variety of instructional strategies to

encourage learners to develop deep understanding of content areas and their

connections, and to build skills to apply knowledge in meaningful ways

Standard #9: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice

The teacher engages in ongoing professional learning and uses evidence to

continually evaluate his/her practice, particularly the effects of his/her

choices and actions on others (learners, families, other professionals, and

the community), and adapts practice to meet the needs of each learner.

Performances

Standard #10: Leadership and Collaboration

The teacher seeks appropriate leadership roles and opportunities to take responsibility for

student learning, to collaborate with learners, families, colleagues, other school professionals,

and community members to ensure learner growth, and to advance the profession.

**LINDSEY WILSON COLLEGE STATEMENTS**

**FOR INCLUSION IN THE SYLLABUS**

**2018-2019**

Academic Integrity Academic integrity is essential to the existence of an academic community. Every student is responsible for fostering a culture of academic honesty, and for maintaining the integrity and academic reputation of Lindsey Wilson College. Maintaining a culture that supports learning and growth requires that each student make a commitment to the fundamental academic values: honesty, integrity, responsibility, trust, respect for self and others, fairness and justice.

To foster commitment to academic integrity, faculty are asked to require each student to place and sign the following honor code on tests, exams and other assignments as appropriate: On my honor as a student, I have neither given nor received any unauthorized aid on this assignment/exam.

Violations of the academic integrity policy include cheating, plagiarism, or lying about academic matters. Plagiarism is defined as any use of another writer’s words, concepts, or sequence of ideas without acknowledging that writer by the use of proper documentation. Not only the direct quotation of another writer’s words, but also any paraphrase or summary of another writer’s concepts or ideas without documentation is plagiarizing that writer’s materials. Academic dishonesty is a profoundly serious offense because it involves an act of fraud that jeopardizes genuine efforts by faculty and students to teach and learn together. It is not tolerated at Lindsey Wilson College.

Students who are determined to have plagiarized an assignment or otherwise cheated in their academic work or examinations may expect an “F” for the activity in question or an “F” for the course, at the discretion of the instructor. All incidents of cheating or plagiarism are reported by the instructor to the Academic Affairs Office along with copies of all relevant materials. Each instance of cheating or plagiarism is counted separately. A student who cheats or plagiarizes in two assignments or tests during the same semester will be deemed guilty of two offenses. If the evidence is unclear, or if a second offense occurs at any time in the student’s academic career, the Academic Affairs Office may, in consultation with the dean of students, refer the case to the Judicial Board for review. Violations will ordinarily result in disciplinary suspension or expulsion from the college, depending on the severity of the violation involved. Note: The college has access to a web product to detect plagiarized documents. Faculty members are encouraged to use this tool.

Questioning a Grade -- The Student Academic Complaint Policy A student, who wishes to question an assignment grade, or other academic issue, should follow the procedure below:

1. Whenever possible, the student will first go to the faculty member who has assigned the disputed grade. Complaints regarding grades should be made within seven (7) days of receipt of the disputed grade and, if possible, will be decided by the faculty member within seven (7) days of receipt. If the disputed grade is the final grade for the course, “receipt” is defined by when the final grade is posted online by the Registrar’s Office. (Please refer to the next section for appealing a final grade.) 2. Unless there are extenuating circumstances, the student may, within seven (7) days, request in writing a review of such decision by the academic unit/division chair/director in which the grade was assigned. Upon receipt of such request, that chair/director will direct the faculty member and the student to each submit, within seven (7) days, if possible, a written account of the incident, providing specific information as to the nature of the dispute. 3. Upon receipt of these written accounts, the chair/director will meet, if possible, within seven (7) days with the faculty member and the student in an effort to resolve the dispute and will render his or her decision in writing.

4. If either the student or the faculty member desires to appeal the decision of the chair/director, the student or faculty member may, within seven (7) days by written request to the chair/director, ask that the matter be reviewed by a Grade Appeals Panel\* convened by the Academic Affairs Office. 5. If the disputed grade is assigned at the end of a fall or spring semester and the student and faculty member cannot meet to resolve the issue, the student should contact the faculty member by email within seven (7) days of receipt of the disputed grade. If the issue cannot be resolved by email within the time limit, steps 2, 3, and 4 of the appeal may extend into the beginning of the semester immediately following receipt of the disputed grade by following the timeline above.

A student who wishes to question a final grade should follow the procedure below:

1. Confer with the faculty member who assigned the disputed grade. 2. If the disputed grade cannot be resolved, a written request for a grade appeal must be submitted to the Academic Affairs Office within ten calendar days of when the grade was issued or before the first day of the semester following the one in which the grade was issued, whichever comes later. The written request must include the specific bases for the appeal. 3. The Academic Affairs Office will convene a Grade Appeals Panel.\*

\*The Grade Appeals Panel is comprised of the vice president for Academic Affairs, assistant vice president for Academic Affairs or the associate dean for the School of Professional Counseling, and the director/chair of the academic unit/division that houses the course for which the grade is appealed. If one of the members is the faculty member who issued the grade, an alternate will be appointed. The student and the faculty member may appear separately before the panel to explain their positions. The hearing is non-adversarial. Neither the faculty member nor the student may be accompanied by other individuals to the meeting of the Grade Appeals Panel. The Grade Appeals Panel will notify the student and the faculty member of its decision, if possible, within seven (7) days of the meeting.

Policy for Verification of Student Identity and Protection of Privacy In compliance with United States Federal Higher Education Opportunity Act (HEOA), Public Law 110-315, all credit-bearing courses and programs offered through distance learning methods must verify that the student who registers for a distance education course or program is the same student who participates in and completes the course or program and receives academic credit. One or more of the following methods must be used: a) A secure login and pass code; b) Proctored examinations; and/or c) Remote proctoring of one of more examinations using

Tegrity or other technologies

Verification of student identity in distance learning must protect the privacy of student information. Personally identifiable information collected by the College may be used, at the discretion of the institution, as the basis for identity verification. For instance, a student requesting that their learning system password be reset may be asked to provide two or more pieces of information for comparison with data on file. It is a violation of College policy for a student to give his or her password to another student.

Detailed information on privacy may be located at: http://www.lindsey.edu/media/319883/Online%20Services%20Privacy%20Policy%204.20.12.pdf

Institutional Review Board (IRB) Policies The Lindsey Wilson College Institutional Review Board (IRB) safeguards the rights and welfare of human participants in research and other research activities. Lindsey Wilson College faculty, staff, and students, which comprise its academic unites, and facilities, are subject to the IRB policies. This includes any research for which a research agreement (e.g., MOU) identifies Lindsey Wilson College Institutional Review Board (IRB) as the IRB of record. All student-led human subject research must have a LWC faculty sponsor. All faculty members and students conducting human subject research are required to submit documentation of training on research

involving human subjects that has been completed within two years of the onset of the proposed research. Online training is available at https://phrp.nihtraining.com/users/login.php.

Statement on Disabilities Lindsey Wilson College accepts students with disabilities and provides reasonable accommodation that will facilitate success. Depending on the nature of the disability, some students may need to take a lighter course load and may need more than four years to graduate. New students needing accommodation should apply to the college as early as possible, usually before May 15 for the fall semester, October 1 for the spring semester, and March 1 for the summer term. Immediately after acceptance, students must identify and document the nature of their disabilities with Mr. Ben Martin, the learning & physical disabilities coordinator. It is the responsibility of the student to provide the learning & physical disabilities coordinator with appropriate materials documenting the disability. Disabilities are typically documented by a recent high school Individualized Education Program (IEP) and results from testing conducted by a psychologist, psychiatrist, or a qualified, licensed person. The college does not provide assessment services for students who may be disabled. Although Lindsey Wilson provides limited personal counseling for all students, the college does not have structured programs available for students with emotional or behavioral disabilities. For more information, contact Mr. Martin at (270) 384-7479.

Academic Success Center Located in the T.D. & Rowena Everett Center, the Academic Success Center (ASC) offers peer tutoring to aid students in completing class assignments, preparing for examinations, and improving their understanding of content covered in a particular course. In addition, computers are available for students’ academic use. Online tutoring is provided for community campus and online students.

Students are encouraged to utilize the center as a resource for improving study strategies and reading techniques. The center also offers assistance with other academic problems. To schedule a live or online tutoring session or for further information or assistance, please contact Ms. Maretta Garner, tutor coordinator, at (270) 384-8037 or at garnerm@lindsey.edu.

Writing Center and Mathematics Center The Writing Center (located in the W. W. Slider Humanities Center), and the Mathematics Center (located in the Jim & Helen Lee Fugitte Science Building) are available for specialized tutoring at no charge to students. Please contact Jared Odd, writing center coordinator, at 270-384-8209 or Linda Kessler, math tutor coordinator, at 270384-8115 for further information and assistance.

Final Exams Final Exams for day classes are scheduled for the Fall 2018 semester on December 10-14 and May 6-10 for the Spring 2019 semester. (Check with instructors of eight-week long courses for finals dates.) The academic calendar, which contains the schedule for finals, is in the College Catalog and course schedule listing. Please make any necessary flight arrangements after final exams. Students will not be permitted to take early finals unless extenuating circumstances exist. “Extenuating circumstance” means illness, a verified family emergency or participation in officially sponsored travel in support of an event arranged by the College. Travel arrangements must be made in sufficient time that tickets may be obtained after final exams and the semester is officially over. All requests for early finals must be made in person to the Academic Affairs Office.

Email Policy All Lindsey Wilson College students are required to communicate with LWC faculty and staff via LWC (Lindsey.edu) email addresses only. Alternative email addresses should not be used when communicating with LWC faculty and staff.

Cell Phone Policy Student cell phones will be off during class time unless prior arrangement is made with the instructor.

Adding/Dropping a Course Students enrolled in the following courses cannot drop these classes during the semester: READ 0713, 0723, 0733, 0903, 1013 and 1023; STSK 1003; ENGL 0903 and 0904; and ESL 0803, 0804 and 0854.

For undergraduate classes at the A.P. White Campus in Columbia and for online students, adding a course, dropping a course, or changing from one section of a course to another section of the same course requires the approval of the advisor and, after the term begins, of the instructor for each course involved as indicated on the Drop/Add Form. The change must be reported to the Business Office and the Registrar's Office on a Drop/Add/Drop, which may be obtained from the Registrar's Office or online. For courses at the Scottsville campus, adding a course, dropping a course, or changing from one section of a course to another section of the same course requires the approval of the Scottsville enrollment manager. For courses taught at community campuses, adding a course, dropping a course, or changing from one section of a course to another section of the same course requires the approval of the site enrollment coordinator for the campus. Permission to add courses will not be given after the last date for late registration. Authorization for dropping a course will not be approved after more than 75 percent of the instructional days for a course are completed, as indicated by the college’s academic calendar.

If changes are not properly approved and officially reported as stated above, students will receive a grade of F in the courses for which they are officially registered, and they will be charged for all such courses. Students will not receive credit for changed or added courses unless they officially register for those courses.
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